

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>UNIVERSITY OF MAURITIUS</b> <b>Rules and Regulations governing MPhil and PhD Research</b></p>
---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Research at the University of Mauritius</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Research Management</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Research Partnerships At Tertiary Level</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Contact/Correspondence</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Fees and other charges</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Section 1: Admission Procedures</b>	<b>9-11</b>
1.1 Introduction	
1.2 MPhil Degree	
1.3 PhD Degree	
1.4 Application and Admission to Research Programmes	
1.5 Selection Criteria	
1.6 Processing of Applications	
1.7 Registration Period	
1.7.1 Duration	
1.7.2 Extension	
1.7.3 Interruption of Studies	
1.8 Changes in the mode of study	
<b>Section 2: Monitoring of Postgraduate Research Programmes</b>	<b>12-14</b>
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Formal mechanism: Six-monthly Progress Reports	
2.2.1 Student's Report (SMPF1)	
2.2.2 Supervisor's Report (SMPF2)	
2.2.3 Role of the Faculty Research Committee	
2.3 Informal monitoring: Departmental Mechanisms	
2.3.1 Quality Assurance (QA) Procedures	
<b>Section 3: MPhil and PhD Research supervision</b>	<b>15-17</b>
3.1 Introduction	
3.1.1 Main Supervisor	
3.1.2 Co-Supervisors	
3.1.3 Associate Supervisor	
3.2 Criteria for Supervision	
3.3 Responsibilities of the Main Supervisor/Co-Supervisors	
3.31 Academic Responsibilities	
3.32 Administrative Responsibilities	
3.4 Responsibilities of the Student	
3.5 Changes of Supervisor(s)	

<b>Section 4: Physical Format and Layout of Dissertations and Theses</b>	<b>18-20</b>
4.1 General	
4.2 Cover and Binding	
4.3 Paper and Typographical Detail	
4.4 Pagination	
4.5 Preliminaries	
4.6 Text	
4.7 Illustrations	
4.8 MPhil Transfer Report	
<b>Section 5: The UoM Guide to the Harvard System of Referencing</b>	<b>21-27</b>
5.1 Citation in the text	
5.2 References or Bibliography	
5.3 Related Topics	
<b>Section 6: Thesis Examination</b>	<b>28-31</b>
6.1 Introduction	
6.2 Procedures for the Submission of the Thesis	
6.3 Copyright	
6.4 Role of the External Examiner	
6.5 Procedures for Appointment of External Examiners	
6.6 Assessment from External Examiners	
6.7 Board of Examiners	
6.8 Disagreement Between External Examiners	
6.9 Appeals	
<b>Section 7: Transfer of Registration from MPhil to PhD</b>	<b>32-34</b>
7.1 Introduction	
7.2 Procedures for the Submission of Transfer Reports	
7.3 Procedures for Assessment of Transfer Reports	
7.4 External Assessor for Transfer Report	
7.5 The External Assessor's Report	
7.6 Appeals	
<b>Section 8: Termination of Registration</b>	<b>35-36</b>
8.1 Introduction	
8.2 Grounds for the Recommendation of Termination of Registration	
8.3 Procedures for the Termination of Registration	
8.4 Appeals	
<b>Section 9: Funding Aspects of MPhil and PhD Research Projects</b>	<b>37</b>
9.1 Introduction	
9.2 Costing and Financing	

## **Section 10: Committees**

**38-40**

- 10.1 University of Mauritius Research Ethics Committee (UoMREC)
  - 10.1.1 Membership
  - 10.1.2 Terms of Reference
- 10.2 Faculty Research Committee (FRC)
  - 10.2.1 Membership
  - 10.2.2 Terms of Reference
  - 10.2.3 Functions of FRC
- 10.3 Board of Examiners
  - 10.3.1 Membership
  - 10.3.2 Function of the Board of Examiners

## **Section 11: Plagiarism**

**41-43**

- 11.1 Definition
- 11.2 Procedures for Dealing with Plagiarism in MPhil/PhD Research Projects
- 11.3 FRC Sub-Committee on Plagiarisms
  - 11.3.1 Membership
  - 11.3.2 Terms of Reference
- 11.4 Right to Appeal
- 11.5 MPhil/Transfer Report/PhD Declaration Form (RDDC form)

## **ANNEXES**

Guidelines for Research Proposals by Prospective Applicants for Postgraduate Research Studies	44
Reference Form (RDRF form)	45-46
MPhil and PhD Registration Procedures	47
Six-Monthly Progress Report (SMPF1) Student's Report	48-51
Six-Monthly Progress Report (SMPF2) Supervisor's Report	52-55
MPhil/Transfer Report/PhD Declaration Form (RDDC form)	56
Notification for Transfer from MPhil to PhD (RDT1 form)	57
External Assessor's Report and Recommendation (RDT2 form)	58
Notification for Submission of Thesis (RDE1 form)	59
External Examiner's Report (RDE2 form)	60
External Examiner's Recommendation (RDE3 form)	61

## INTRODUCTION

---

The University of Mauritius Ordinance No. 39 of 1965 defined the objects of the University, established the Provisional Council as the Supreme body in which were vested all the assets and property of the University and regulated its financial and other administrative functions.

The University of Mauritius Act, 1971, providing for the present Constitution and Statutes, received the assent of H.E. the Governor General on 31st May 1971 and came into effect on 1st July 1971. The Act establishes the fundamental powers and structure of the University and defines its purposes and entity as a legally independent body. Details of the Constitution are contained in the Statutes which describe the means and terms of appointment of the officers and staff of the University and the membership, terms of reference and standing orders of committees.

The University was founded on the developmental concept to help in the general development of Mauritius. As such, one of the dominant concerns of the University when it was created was to meet national manpower needs. Today the University provides programmes in all the principal areas of study which would normally be expected in a tertiary institution.

# RESEARCH AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MAURITIUS

---

## (i) Definition of Research

Research is to be understood as an "original investigation undertaken in order to gain knowledge and understanding". It includes work of direct relevance to the needs of the public, private and voluntary sectors; (...) "the invention and generation of ideas, images, performances and artefacts including design, where these lead to new or substantially improved insights; and the use of existing knowledge in experimental development to produce new or substantially improved materials, devices, products and processes, including design and construction. It excludes routine testing and analysis of materials, components and processes, e.g, for the maintenance of national standards, as distinct from the development of new analytical techniques. It also excludes the development of teaching materials that do not embody original research."

## (ii) Mauritius 2000

The first attempt to work out a comprehensive and integrated framework for research at the University goes back to the early 1980s. A multidisciplinary team set up in 1985 produced a document entitled *Mauritius 2000: A generalised framework for academic activities*, which set an ambitious scenario for the University.

The broad aims of the Mauritius 2000 vision of the University were defined as follows:

- (a) To create the image of a centre of learning and knowledge with a major think-tank capacity
- (b) To develop non-teaching activities
- (c) To undertake high quality research work which can be seen to be of direct relevance and importance to the country
- (d) To encourage higher degree projects relating to the Mauritius of 2000, each relatively narrow research area combining with others to form a broadly based body of knowledge

One of the guiding principles underlying the Mauritius 2000 studies was to draw upon human resources from the private sector and the public service in order to have effective university-public sector-private sector linkages in order to promote research and development.

## UoM Strategic Plan, 1999-2004

12 years later, the University embarked on another major exercise of thorough re-thinking, the outcome of which was the five-year Strategic Plan, 1999-2004. In the document outlining the strategic plan, the task awaiting the University is described in the following terms:

The University is now facing the challenge of having to expand very quickly to assist Mauritius to prepare itself for global competition, to keep pace with the rapid progress being made in communication and information technology and to play an important role in the region, while ensuring that quality norms are maintained and that due emphasis is given to research and consultancy.

In its overview of the University's performance in research, the Plan emphasizes the substantial increase in the number of MPhil/PhD enrolment and the measures taken to encourage research, such as:

- Recruitment of research assistants
- Provision of research funds
- Partial funding for academic staff participation in conferences
- Creation of the University of Mauritius Research Journals

The number of MPhil/PhD students at the University of Mauritius has been increasing over the last five years. The total number of MPhil/PhD students currently registered is 179.

## **Research Centres at the University of Mauritius**

### **(i) The Mauritius Radio Telescope (MRT)**

The Mauritius Radio Telescope (MRT) is a joint project of the Indian Institute of Astrophysics (IIA), the Raman Research Institute (RRI), Bangalore, India and the University of Mauritius.

The MRT is located in the Bras D'Eau forest in the rocky north-eastern part of the island.

The original idea of a southern sky survey at a frequency of 150 MHz was put forward by Prof Ch V Sastry of the IIA. Following his visit and that of Prof V Radhakrishna of RRI in 1987, the project of setting up the MRT was initiated. The construction of the MRT was completed in 1992, and the telescope has been operational since then.

3 surveys of the sky have been completed. A low resolution map has been made and the processing of the final map is in progress. Observations of southern pulsars have also been effected.

Several engineers and technicians have been trained at the MRT. In 1997, a conference on low frequency radio astronomy was organised by the MRT.

### **(ii) The Centre for Applied Social Research (CASR)**

The Centre for Applied Social Research (CASR) became operational in February 2000 as a joint initiative of the University of Mauritius and the Mauritius Research Council.

Its primary aims and objectives are to:

- Measure and analyse socio-economic changes in Mauritius
- Promote the development of research-based socio-economic policy
- Train scientists in methods of social measurement and analysis

CASR designs, carries out and interprets research studies and surveys in the social domain which will contribute to the formulation of appropriate social policy.

Among the surveys and studies carried out by CASR are:

- Work and The Family (ILO/Ministry of Labour, 2001)

- Mauritian Social Survey Attitudes (2002)
- Survey of Smoking, Drinking and Illicit Drug use among secondary school students (2003)
- Poverty and Social Exclusion in Mauritius (Ongoing)

### **(iii) The Indian Ocean Child Rights Observatory (IOCRO)**

The Indian Ocean Child Rights Observatory (IOCRO), based at the University of Mauritius, is a joint project of the Indian Ocean Commission (IOC), the United Nations Children's Funds (UNICEF) and the University of Mauritius. A 'Protocole d'Accord' for the setting up of the Indian Ocean Child Rights Observatory, hosted and implemented by the University of Mauritius, was signed between UNICEF, IOC and UOM on 23 September 2004.

The objectives of the Observatory are to:

- Monitor child rights in the five IOC countries.
- Influence policies and reinforce interventions in view of the implementation of child rights.
- Formulate recommendations and promote public debate to ensure the well-being of children.

# RESEARCH MANAGEMENT

---

## 1. Office of the Pro Vice-Chancellor (Research & Consultancy)

The Office of the Pro Vice-Chancellor for Research and Consultancy started operating in December 1993. The Office provides facilities and funding for carrying out research at the University of Mauritius.

The Office of the Pro Vice-Chancellor (Research & Consultancy) is responsible for the management of:

- MPhil and PhD research
- TEC Scholarships/Bursaries
- Research Grants
- UoM Research Journals and Publications
- Consultancies and Contract Research Projects through the Consultancy and Contract Research Centre (CCRC)

## 2. Consultancy and Contract Research Centre (CCRC)

The Consultancy and Contract Research Centre (CCRC) was set up in August 1998. It is headed by a Director and comprises a team of administrative and support staff. It is also supported by Research Assistants recruited for ongoing projects.

The CCRC:

- Coordinates all consultancy and contract research projects between University and Industry, government and other stakeholders, from pre-award state until project wrap-up.
- Manages Intellectual Property Rights generated by University research, as well as all issues related to licensing and technology transfer.

Since its creation, the CCRC has successfully managed a substantial number of research projects varying from consultancy services to multidisciplinary contract research projects of national importance.

To respond effectively to the needs of its various clients from the various sectors of the economy and society, the CCRC draws upon a high-calibre pool of intellectual expertise and professional resources available at the University:

- Over 300 academics, researchers, and technicians on campus;
- A network of links with universities and institutions abroad;
- State-of-the-art equipment and laboratories;
- Experience in the management of research projects.

The CCRC, the CASR and the IOCRO fall under the responsibility of the Pro Vice-Chancellor for Research and Consultancy.

## **RESEARCH PARTNERSHIPS AT TERTIARY LEVEL**

---

### **The University and the Mauritius Research Council (MRC)**

The research perspective adopted by the University over the last fifteen years is very much in line with MRC's recent thematic approach to Research and Development. The priority areas for research "in key sectors for sustainable national development" identified by MRC are as follows:

- Science and Technology Education
- Biotechnology
- Biomedical Research
- Marine Resources
- Energy and Energy Efficiency
- Water Resources
- Manufacturing Technology
- Applied Social Research

### **The University and the Tertiary Education Commission (TEC)**

The TEC MPhil/PhD Scholarships and Bursaries Scheme is open to research students registered at the University of Mauritius. The main beneficiaries have been up to now UoM's students. An evaluation exercise of the scheme carried out in 2000 recommended a new orientation based on the following:

- Establishment of a national priority list
- Preference to projects designed to meet national needs
- Research to be directed towards topics of local relevance
- Closer monitoring and follow-up
- Research findings to be utilised and disseminated

## CONTACT/CORRESPONDENCE

---

The Registrar  
7<sup>th</sup> floor, New Academic Complex  
University of Mauritius  
Réduit  
Mauritius

Tel: (230) 454-1041  
464-9958  
464-0017

Fax: (230) 464-9642  
Email: [registrar@uom.ac.mu](mailto:registrar@uom.ac.mu)  
University Website: <http://www.uom.ac.mu>

### Principal Officers of the University

Vice-Chancellor	Ext 1519
Pro Vice-Chancellor (T & L)	Ext 1521
Pro Vice-Chancellor (R&C)	Ext 1515
Registrar	Ext 1520
Dean of the Faculty of Agriculture	Ext 1227
Dean of the Faculty of Engineering	Ext 1226
Dean of The Faculty of Law and Management	Ext 1441
Dean of the Faculty of Science	Ext 1418
Dean of the Faculty of Social Studies and Humanities	Ext 1352
Budget Director	Ext 1225
Chief Librarian	Ext 1229
Director, Centre for Professional Development and Lifelong Learning	Ext 1204
Director, Centre for Consultancy and Contract Research	Ext 1527
Officer-in-Charge, Centre for Information Technology and Systems	Ext 1538
Director, Quality Assurance	Ext 1514
Director, Virtual Centre for Innovative Learning Technologies	Ext 1582
Officer-in-Charge, Centre for Applied Social Research	Ext 1480
Project Manager, Indian Ocean Child Rights Observatory	Ext 1600
SSR Medical Resource Centre	

Tel: 454 1041-49  
464 9958  
464 0017

Tel: 433-2929

## FEES AND OTHER CHARGES

---

- **Application Fee**  
Rs 500 (one-off)
- **General Fees**  
Rs 9,500 per annum
- **Students' Union Membership Fee**  
Rs 200 per annum
- **Tuition Fees for MPhil and PhD Programmes :**  
For *full-time* - Rs 30 000 per annum  
For *part-time* - Rs 20 000 per annum
- **Thesis Fees for Postgraduate Research Programmes**  
Upon submission in Final Year – Rs 10,500

# 1. ADMISSION PROCEDURES

---

## 1.1 Introduction

Applicants for admission for a **Master of Philosophy (MPhil) or Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)** degree programme need to send their application form together with an outlined research proposal to the Admission's Office.

Research themes and research interests of staff (from the Faculties, Centres and Affiliated Institutions) will be posted on the University of Mauritius website.

Applicants may contact academics/research staff before finalising the research proposal.

MPhil and PhD programmes will be advertised in the press twice yearly (i.e. on 31<sup>st</sup> January and 31<sup>st</sup> August). Applicants will be requested to respond within a period of 6 weeks.

The University will post an updated list of scholarships and sources of funds for research.

## 1.2 MPhil Degree

An MPhil award will be made upon successful completion of substantial element of research. For the award of the MPhil degree, a student needs to demonstrate:

- (a) The ability to critically review existing literature and current research at the forefront of the discipline
- (b) A thorough understanding of the problem under investigation and of the techniques required to create and interpret knowledge in the field of study
- (c) Originality in the application of knowledge, and/or new insights to the research problem

## 1.3 PhD Degree

For the award of the PhD degree, a student needs to demonstrate:

- (a) A thorough understanding of the field of study and of applicable techniques for research and advanced academic enquiry
- (b) The ability to conceptualise, design and implement a research project for the generation of new knowledge and understanding at the forefront of the discipline
- (c) The ability to create and interpret new knowledge through original research

## 1.4 Application and Admission to Research Programmes

The University will consider the following factors whilst processing the application for a research programme:

- a) Qualifications and suitability of the applicant
- b) Appropriateness of the research proposal
- c) Availability of resources and facilities (supervisory, funding and others)
- d) The proposed time frame to complete the study

## 1.5 Selection Criteria

### 1) *Entry Requirements*

#### (a) Admission to MPhil Programmes

An MPhil student should possess at least a Second Class Honours degree or equivalent.

#### (b) Admission to PhD Programmes

There are two categories of candidates for admission:

(i) Students who are already registered for an MPhil programme at the University of Mauritius may request an upgrade.

(ii) Students may already have an MPhil research degree in the relevant field.

2) **References:** Candidates will have to provide two academic references along with their application forms. Such references may provide referees from research institutions. All referees must fill in RDRF form.

3) **Language Proficiency for International Students:** Candidates may be asked to provide evidence of language proficiency in English or French or another language, as applicable.

## 1.6 Processing of Applications

The processing of application for MPhil and PhD programmes is outlined in a flowchart (see page (ii)).

The Registrar's office will advertise applications for MPhil and PhD programmes twice yearly.

All applications must be channelled to the Faculty/Centre/Institution through the University Admissions' Office.

## 1.7 Registration Period

On receipt of the recommendation from Research & Consultancy Committee/Senate, the Registrar will send the applicant a formal letter of acceptance for registration, giving relevant details such as name of degree, subject area, name of supervisor, minimum research period and date of commencement.

The student should register within 3 weeks upon receipt of the letter from the Registrar. Should a student be unable to register by such a date, he/she should notify the Registrar accordingly, giving reasons for postponement of registration. No request for postponement exceeding three months will be considered.

### 1.7.1 Duration

Registered students must complete their MPhil or PhD studies as per Tables 1 and 2 below.

*Table 1: Registration for MPhil*

	Minimum	Maximum
Full Time	2	3
Part Time	3	4

*Table 2: Registration for PhD*

	Minimum	Maximum
Full Time	3	5
Part Time	5	7

### 1.7.2 Extension

In exceptional circumstances, a student may be granted an extension of the period of study. All such extensions must be approved by the University. The student needs to make a formal request to the Dean of Faculty.

### 1.7.3 Interruption of Studies

Students who find it necessary to interrupt their studies (e.g. due to illness) may apply for an interruption of the period of registration. The interruption of studies must be approved by the University. The student needs to make a formal request to the Dean of Faculty.

## 1.8 Changes in the Mode of Study

During the period of study, a student may wish to transfer his/her registration from a full-time to a part-time mode of study and vice versa. The student needs to make a formal request to the Dean of Faculty.

## 2. MONITORING OF POSTGRADUATE RESEARCH PROGRAMMES

---

### 2.1 Introduction

This section provides guidelines to ensure that postgraduate research students make good, steady and satisfactory progress at different stages during their registration periods.

The successful completion of a postgraduate research programme within a proper and concerted reasonable length of time lies with (i) the student, (ii) the supervisor (iii) the Faculty concerned and the University.

### 2.2 Formal Mechanism: Six-monthly Progress Reports

All students are required to submit progress reports on their completed work on a six-monthly basis. The progress reports must be accompanied by a duly completed Six Monthly Progress Form (SMPF1).

The submission of six-monthly Progress Reports shall constitute the formal mechanism by which the Research Programmes are monitored. The submission of six-monthly Progress Reports shall provide the required framework for the Faculties to monitor the following:

- Progress of the student's research Programme
- Quality of supervision
- Provision of resources and other research facilities

The Progress Report shall constitute the official notification for the following:

- Re-enrolment on an annual basis
- Transfer to PhD
- Termination of registration (if required)
- Feedback to research-funding bodies and other Scholarship Awarding Institutions on the use of funds

The six-monthly reports shall be submitted during the period ending January/August (to allow time for processing and student's re-enrolment).

The student and supervisor(s) may also wish to bring any urgent issues to the attention of the Dean of Faculty outside the six-monthly evaluation periods. The Dean of Faculty shall then refer the matter to the Faculty Research Committee.

#### 2.2.1 Student's Report (SMPF1)

(i) Students are advised to fill in the SMPF1 form, after discussion with their Supervisor(s).

(ii) The SMPF1 form enables the Faculty Research Committee:

- To review the progress achieved, and the problems encountered (including supervision, provision of resources, safety issues, etc.)
- To assess the future plan of work

- To ensure that stages in the research programme have been reached, as initially set (e.g. literature review collation of data analysis of data, etc.)
- To anticipate feasibility of completion of the project in the prescribed time frame

All completed SMPF1s will be submitted to the Dean of Faculty.

### **2.2.2 Supervisor's Report (SMPF2)**

- (i) The supervisor(s) shall complete in the SMPF2, after discussion with the student
- (ii) The SMPF2 allows the supervisor(s) to make specific comments on:

- Student's diligence at work
- Regularity of student's interaction with supervisor(s)
- Progress achieved and problems encountered (including supervision, provision of resources, safety issues, etc.)
- Student's progress in research programme
- Problems (and nature thereof) affecting progress of research work
- Status to be given to registration (e.g. conversion to PhD Programme, termination of registration, leave of absence, etc.)
- Research project-related activities (e.g. poster presentation, seminar, etc.)
- Anticipated completion date (if applicable)

The SMPF2 shall then be submitted to the Faculty Research Committee. The student and the supervisor may also wish to bring any urgent issues to the attention of the Faculty Research Committee outside the six-monthly evaluation periods. The Dean of Faculty shall then refer the matter to the Faculty Research Committee.

### **2.2.3 Role of the Faculty Research Committee (FRC)**

The Faculty Research Committee will send the research proposal for clearance to the University of Mauritius Research Ethics Committee (UoMREC). The Chairperson of the UoMREC will then send the Committee's recommendation to the Faculty Research Committee.

The Faculty Research Committee will look into any case of plagiarism.

The Faculty Research Committee shall act on the six-monthly Progress Reports received from (i) the student and (ii) the supervisor (or any other reports from students and supervisors, outside the evaluation periods). The Faculty Research Committee shall make recommendations to Faculty Board on the:

- Status of the student's registration
- The re-enrolment of the student

The Faculty Research Committee shall make recommendations on:

- (i) Transfer to PhD: recommended for external assessment on the basis of evidence from student and supervisor(s) on:
- Extent of results achieved and contribution to area of knowledge
  - Orientation of proposed research work for doctoral studies

- Contribution of proposed research work to original knowledge in area under study
- (ii) Ongoing registration – MPhil (or PhD): recommended on the basis of satisfactory progress
- (iii) Termination of registration: recommended when progress is unsatisfactory. Students shall be given the opportunity to appeal against the decisions

## **2.3 Informal Monitoring: Departmental Mechanisms**

### **2.3.1 Quality Assurance (QA) Procedures**

The Faculty Research Committee may also wish to set procedures and mechanisms to ensure monitoring of a research programme. The Faculties (or Departments) will have to write down the desirable QA procedures.

## **3. MPHIL AND PHD RESEARCH SUPERVISION**

---

### **3.1 Introduction**

For the purpose of this document, the following definitions will apply:

#### **3.1.1 Main Supervisor**

“Main Supervisor” means a full time staff either of the University of Mauritius or of an external research institution to provide appropriate academic and administrative guidance and support so as to enable the student to achieve his/her full research potential and reach the standard required for MPhil or PhD.

#### **3.1.2 Co-Supervisors**

“Co-Supervisors” means two full-time staff of the University of Mauritius, or one from the University of Mauritius and another from an external research institution, who both provide appropriate academic and administrative guidance and support so as to enable the student to achieve his/her full research potential and reach the standard required for MPhil/PhD.

Co-Supervisors are normally appointed in the case where a research project has an interdisciplinary dimension. Co-Supervisors should meet the same criteria as for the Main Supervisor. Similarly, the Co-Supervisors will have the same responsibilities as the Main Supervisors.

#### **3.1.3 Associate Supervisor**

“Associate Supervisor” means:

- Either a person with relevant research experience from within or outside the University of Mauritius, recommended by the Main Supervisor when the latter is a full time staff of the University of Mauritius, to provide complementary supervision to the research student
- Or a full time staff of the University of Mauritius with relevant research experience, agreeable to the Main Supervisor when the latter is from an overseas institution, to provide support and complementary guidance to the student and to advise the Main Supervisor on the student’s progress.

When the Main Supervisor is from an external institution, the Associate Supervisor must be from the University of Mauritius.

When the Main Supervisor is absent for an extended period, the Associate Supervisor will take up his administrative duties.

### **3.2 Criteria for Supervision:**

The Supervisor (whether Main, Co-Supervisor or Associate Supervisor) should meet one of the following criteria:

- i. An academic staff with a PhD Degree and with at least two years of post PhD research experience and publications in the proposed field of study. However, an academic staff with only 2 years post-PhD

experience will be eligible to supervise a maximum number of 3 students.

- ii. An academic staff without PhD who is at least at Associate Professor level and who has extensive research experience as evidenced by publications in recognised international journals in the proposed field of study.

**However, an academic staff holding a PhD but with less than 2 years post-PhD research experience will be eligible to act as Associate Supervisor only.**

### **3.3 Responsibilities of the Main Supervisor/Co-Supervisors**

The Main Supervisor must be familiar with the University's rules and procedures and code of practice governing postgraduate research degrees.

The Main Supervisor will encourage the student to write papers for publication or for presentation at conferences.

The Supervisor must have up-to-date knowledge in the specific field of the research project.

#### **3.3.1 Academic Responsibilities**

- Give guidance to the student about the nature of the research and the expected standard and help the student to prepare a satisfactory research proposal
- Ensure that the student is fully aware of the requirements to be fulfilled in postgraduate research work, including completion within the prescribed timeframe
- Help the student to improve his/her knowledge in the field of research and propose coursework or other necessary actions to remedy any shortcomings
- Devote adequate time, including holding of regular supervisory meetings, to give guidance to the student and be accessible to discuss the problems encountered by the student
- Promptly and critically review all written work
- Advise the student in the preparation of his/her thesis to meet the expected quality and standard
- Seek solutions to any source of disagreement or conflict with the student. In case of failure to resolve the problem, refer the matter to the Chairperson of the FRC

#### **3.3.2 Administrative Responsibilities:**

- Advise the student on any taught module(s) to be audited by the student
- Initiate actions to obtain permission of relevant authorities for projects requiring special permits such as for the handling of hazardous materials or living creatures, visiting and photographing restricted places and the like
- Submit recommendations, SMPFs and all relevant documents to the Dean of Faculty
- Ensure that all relevant facilities and resources are available
- Monitor the use of fund(s) for the project

- Encourage the student to interact with other students, either locally or overseas, undertaking research in related fields
- Make arrangements for alternative supervision of the student during any period of leave exceeding 1 month
- Ensure that corrections/amendments are made to the thesis following examination and prior to final binding
- Report to the Dean of Faculty any item of disagreement or conflict with the student
- Maintain regular contact with the Associate Supervisor if applicable

**Note: When the Main Supervisor is from an external institution, the Dean of Faculty will act as Administrative Supervisor.**

### **3.4 Responsibilities of the Student**

- Agree with the designated Supervisor on research topic and proposal
- Work diligently with the ultimate goal of successful completion of the research project within the prescribed period
- Be able to work independently
- Be innovative in the research project as it progresses
- Be familiar with the procedures, rules and regulations governing registration, progress, transfer from MPhil to PhD (wherever applicable), examination and intellectual property rights
- Be familiar with the University health and safety regulations
- Attend lectures, seminars and any training programmes on the advice of the Supervisor(s)
- Agree with the Supervisor on a schedule of meetings and seek to meet the Supervisor for assistance between formal meetings whenever necessary. Prepare all materials in a legible format for discussion during meetings, take notes including items for action and submit a copy to the Supervisor(s)
- Inform the Supervisor promptly of any problems which may be hindering progress
- Prepare and submit progress reports as and when required
- Decide when to submit thesis, taking into consideration the advice of Supervisor(s)
- Report to the Dean of Faculty any item of disagreement or conflict with the Supervisor(s)
- Act in accordance with ethical principles appropriate to the discipline/area

### **3.5 Changes of Supervisor(s)**

The student or the Supervisor or both shall make a formal request to the Faculty Research Committee for any change in supervision arrangements. The Faculty Research Committee will then follow the normal procedure for appointment of Supervisor(s).

## **4. PHYSICAL FORMAT AND LAYOUT OF DISSERTATIONS AND THESES**

---

### **4.1 General**

The term 'document' used in these regulations means a thesis on a research presenting the author's findings and any conclusions reached, submitted by the author in support of her/his candidature for the award of an MPhil or PhD.

Each registered MPhil/PhD student of the University of Mauritius should adhere to the system of referencing as per "The University of Mauritius Guide to the Harvard System of Referencing" when writing up the MPhil thesis / MPhil Transfer Report / PhD thesis (refer to Section 5).

### **4.2 Cover and Binding**

The document shall be bound in book form in a fixed binding. The boards shall have sufficient rigidity to support the weight of the work when standing upon the shelf. The cover shall be black and full bound for postgraduate degrees and grey, quarter bound for undergraduate qualifications.

The spine of the volume shall bear in at least 24 pt (8mm) type the qualification and the candidate's surname and initials and the year of presentation in gold lettering, starting 40mm from the base. This information shall be printed along the spine in such a way as to be readable when the volume is lying flat with the back cover uppermost. If the document consists of more than one volume the spine shall bear the number of each volume.

The front cover shall bear in at least 24 pt (8mm) type the full title of the work as approved by Senate or Faculty/Cluster Board as the case may be.

### **4.3 Paper and Typographical Detail**

The document may be either computer printed or typewritten or typewritten-cyclostyled; where the thesis is typewritten it must be on one side of the paper only with 1½ or double spacing; one of the copies must be the typescript (top copy). Character shall not be less than 8 pt (2.75mm). Copies produced by xerographic processes are acceptable.

The size of the paper used shall be international A4 (300 x 210mm). It shall be of good quality and of sufficient capacity for normal reading.

Margins at the binding edge shall be not less than 40mm and other margins not less than 20mm.

### **4.4 Pagination**

Pages shall be numbered consecutively through the document commencing with the title page, including appendices.

Page numbers shall be located centrally at the bottom of the page, approximately 10mm above the edge.

If there is more than one volume, each volume shall have its own pagination.

## **4.5 Preliminaries**

The title page of the document shall give the following information in order listed:

- The full title of the works as approved by Research & Consultancy Committee/ Senate or Faculty/Cluster Board
- The full name of the author
- The qualification for which the document is submitted
- The name of the institution to which the document is submitted
- The department or organisation in which the project or research was conducted
- The month and year of submission.
- The table of contents shall follow the title page. It shall list in sequence with page numbers all sub-divisions of the thesis.
- The lists of tables and illustrations, if any, shall follow the table of contents.
- Any acknowledgement shall be on the page following the lists of tables and illustrations.

When submitting a document the author shall indicate in a declaration any material in the document which she/he has used before in support of an application for another degree or qualification. If the document is based on joint research, the nature and extent of the author's own contribution shall be indicated. The declaration shall follow the acknowledgements.

- An abstract of the document shall follow this declaration.
- Where symbols and/or abbreviations are used, a key shall be provided which shall follow the abstract.

## **4.6 Text**

The text of the document shall be preceded by an introduction which shall follow the abstract.

The document shall be divided as appropriate into chapters, sections and subsections.

An index (if provided) shall follow the bibliography (if any).

Appendices shall follow the main text.

## **4.7 Illustrations**

Whenever practicable, diagrams, maps, illustrations, computer printouts, published papers and tables shall have a binding margin of at least 40mm. Computer printouts should be burst before binding.

Photographic prints shall be on single weight paper or permanently mounted on appropriate paper for binding and shall be securely fixed in the document.

Other materials such as sound and video tapes, slides and drawings which cannot conveniently be bound in the thesis shall be packaged in a rigid container similar in format to the bound document.

Illustrations shall be numbered consecutively in arabic numbers. The numbers and captions shall be at the bottom of the illustrations. (The top of an illustration which is bound sideways shall be to the left of the page).

Tables shall be numbered consecutively throughout the thesis in arabic numbers; the numbers and captions shall be at the top of the tables.

#### **4.8 MPhil Transfer report**

The MPhil transfer report for upgrading to PhD should be in the range of 25,000-30,000 words. The report should be in the same format as an MPhil thesis. The supervisor must support the upgrading report.

Any papers published in connection with the research work should be annexed. The report should also include an abstract, a concluding chapter, and the future plan of work.

The literary style and grammar should be carefully checked before submission.

## **5. THE UoM GUIDE TO THE HARVARD SYSTEM OF REFERENCING**

When writing a piece of work you will need to refer in your text to material written or produced by others. This procedure is called citing or quoting references.

Consistency and accuracy are important to enable readers to identify and locate the material to which you have referred. The same set of rules should be followed every time you cite a reference. The system used at the University of Mauritius is the Harvard System.

### **The Harvard System**

All statements, opinions, conclusions etc. taken from another writer's work should be cited, whether the work is directly **quoted, paraphrased or summarised**. In the Harvard System cited publications are referred to in the text by giving the author's surname and the year of publication (see section 1) and are listed in a bibliography at the end of the text (see section 2).

**Sources/authors:** the person or organisation shown most prominently in the source as responsible for the content in its published form should be given. For anonymous works use 'Anon' instead of a name. For certain kinds of work, e.g. dictionaries or encyclopaedias, or if an item is the co-operative work of many individuals, none of whom have a dominant role, e.g. videos or films, the title may be used instead of an originator or author.

**Dates:** if an exact year or date is not known, an approximate date preceded by 'ca.' may be supplied and given in square brackets. If no such approximation is possible, that should be stated, e.g. [ca.1880] or [no date].

All examples in this are fictitious and any resemblance to existing works is coincidental.

### **5.1 Citation in the text**

- **Quotations** – as a general rule in the University, if the quote is less than a line it may be included in the body of the text in quotation marks. Longer quotations are indented and single-spaced quotation marks are not required. For citations of particular parts of the document the page numbers etc. **may** be given after the year in parentheses.
- **Summaries or paraphrases** – give the citation where it occurs naturally or at the end of the relevant piece of writing.
- **Diagrams, illustrations** – should be referenced as though they were a quotation if they have been taken from a published work.
- If details of **particular parts of a document** are required, e.g. page numbers, they should be given after the year within the parentheses.
- **Rules for citation in text for printed documents also apply to electronic documents except where pagination is absent.** If an electronic document does not include pagination or an equivalent internal referencing system, the extent of the item may be indicated in terms such as the total number of lines, screens, etc., e.g. "[35 lines]" or "[approx. 12 screens]".

## Examples

- i) If the author's name occurs naturally in the sentence the year is given in parentheses: -

E.g. In a one of his major works, Toussaint (1980, p.84) argued that we need to take good decisions...

E.g. As Toussaint (1980, p.84) said, "good decisions need to be taken" and so...

- ii) **If the name does not occur naturally in the sentence**, both name and year are given in parentheses: -

E.g. A more recent study (Sherlock 2001, p.211) has shown how theory and practical work interact.

E.g. Theory rises out of practice, and once validated, returns to direct or explain the practice (Sherlock 2001, p.211).

- iii) When an **author has published more than one cited document in the same year**, these are distinguished by adding lower case letters (a, b, c, etc.) after the year and within the parentheses: -

E.g. John (1972a, p.31) elaborates on...

- iv) If there are **two authors** the surnames of both should be given: -

E.g. Bretzel and Hansel (1895, p.251) have argued that...

- v) If there are **more than two authors** the surname of the first author only should be given, followed by et al.: -

E.g. In many rural areas, people have farms with a total amount of... (Picket *et al.* 1928, p.31)

(A full listing of names should appear in the bibliography.)

- vi) If the **work is anonymous** then "Anon" should be used: -

E.g. In an article (Anon 1995, p.69) it was stated that...

- vii) If it is a reference **to a newspaper article with no author** the name of the paper can be used in place of "Anon": -

E.g. Lots of travellers seem to be going to Mauritius. (Newsweek 2005, p.3)

(You should use the same style in the bibliography.)

- viii) If you refer to **a source quoted in another source** you cite both in the text: -

E.g. A research by Rosas (1997 cited Banes 2001, p.98) showed that...

(You should list only the work you have read, i.e. Jones, in the bibliography.)

ix) If you refer to a **contributor in a source\*** you cite just the contributor: -

E.g. Textile industries have been cited as the cornerstone in this field (Bedford 1958, p.56).

See Section 2 below for an explanation of how to list contributions (chapters in books, articles in journals, papers in conference proceeding) in the bibliography.

x) If you refer to a person who has not produced a work, or contributed to one, but who is quoted in someone else's work it is suggested that you should mention the person's name and you must cite the source author: -

E.g. Richard Hammond stressed the part psychology plays in advertising in an interview with Matthews (2001, p.87).

E.g. "Expressive interfaces will always elicit positive emotions", Bob Seagull said in a recent article (Kendall 2003, p.87).

(You should list the work that has been published, i.e. Marshall, in the bibliography.)

#### **Personal communications: -**

▪ Taken from: AIA, 1990. Publication Manual of the Artificial Intelligence Association. 2nd ed. Port-Louis: AIA.

They do not provide recoverable data and so are not included in the reference list. Cite personal communications in the text only. Give initials as well as the surname of the communicator and provide as exact a date as possible.

E.g. Many designers do not acknowledge the usability issues. (Personal communication, May 20, 1994).

## **5.2 References or Bibliography**

At the end of a piece of work, list the references to documents cited in the text. This list may be called a *Bibliography* or more commonly *References*. Exceptionally you may be asked to list references not cited in the text but which make an important contribution to your work. These are usually listed under the heading of *Further Reading*.

**In the Harvard System, the references are listed in alphabetical order of authors' names.** If you have cited more than one item by a specific author they should be listed chronologically (earliest first), and by letter (1998a, 2001b) if more than one item has been published during a specific year.

Whenever possible, elements of a bibliographical reference should be taken from the title page of the publication.

Each reference should use the elements and punctuation given in the following examples for the different types of published work you may have cited. Underlining is an acceptable alternative to italics when bibliographies are hand written.

### **Reference to a book**

Author's SURNAME, INITIALS., Year of publication. *Title*. Edition (if not the first). Place of publication: Publisher.

E.g. MILLER, B.A. AND SATE, B., 1995. *The Mauritian Diaspora*. 2nd ed. London: Longman.

### **Reference to a contribution in a book**

Contributing author's SURNAME, INITIALS., Year of publication. Title of contribution. Followed by *In*: INITIALS. SURNAME, of author or editor of publication followed by ed. or eds. if relevant. *Title of book*. Place of publication: Publisher, Page number(s) of contribution.

E.g. ZIGGY, A.R., 1985. Social acceptance of intermarriages. *In*: B.S. MARIKA, ed. *Annual review of Socio-ethnic situation in Mauritius*. Central Park, CA: Sebe, 512-525.

### **Reference to an article in a journal**

Author's SURNAME, INITIALS., Year of publication. Title of article. *Title of journal*, Volume number and (part number), Page numbers of contribution.

E.g. MCGIL, W.A., 1997. Approaches to intelligent information retrieval. *Natural language Processing*, 7 (3), 147-168.

### **Reference to a newspaper article**

Author's SURNAME, INITIALS., (or NEWSPAPER TITLE,) Year of publication. Title of article. *Title of newspaper*, Day and month, Page number/s and column number.

E.g. LE MAURICIEN, 1998. Sorting out the problem. *Le mauricien*, 4 June, p.28a.

### **Reference to a map**

Originator's SURNAME, first name or initials, (may be cartographer, surveyor, compiler, editor, copier, maker, engraver, etc.) year of publication. *Title*, Scale. (should be given normally as a ratio) Place of publication: Publisher.

E.g. PERRY, Norton, 1981. *Islands surrounding the African continent*, 1:8,000,000. Cape Town: Ordinance Survey.

### **Reference to a conference paper**

Contributing author's SURNAME, INITIALS., Year of publication. Title of contribution. Followed by *In*: INITIALS. SURNAME, of editor of proceedings (if applicable) followed by ed. *Title of conference proceedings* including date and place of conference. Place of publication: Publisher, Page numbers of contribution.

E.g. SUTNA, Y., 1989. Media Spaces: the new way to communicate. *In*: A.V. GUJADHUR, ed. *9th international online information meeting, 8-10 August 1989 Delhi*. Wiley: Learned Information, 323-330.

### **Reference to a publication from a corporate body**

(E.g. a government department or other organisation).

NAME OF ISSUING BODY, Year of publication. *Title of publication*. Place of publication: Publisher, Report Number (where relevant).

E.g. UNESCO, 2004. *General information programme and UNISIST*. Paris: Unesco, (PGI-04/WS/48).

### **Reference to a thesis**

Author's SURNAME, INITIALS., Year of publication. *Title of thesis*. Designation, (and type). Name of institution to which submitted.

E.g. HENRI, F.S., 2005. *The Mauritian Phrase Structure Grammar*. Thesis (PhD). University of Mauritius.

### **Reference to a patent**

ORIGINATOR/SOURCE, (name of applicant) Year of publication. *Title of patent*. Series designation, which may include full date.

E.g. BELIN INC., 1981. *Lenses' cleansing system*. European patent application 88245785 A1. 1994-08-02.

### **Reference to a video, film or broadcast**

*Title*, Year. (For films the preferred date is the year of release in the country of production.) Material designation. Subsidiary originator. (Optional but director is preferred, SURNAME in capitals) Production details – place: organisation.

E.g. *Star Wars*, 1977. Film. Directed by Georges Lucas. USA: Universal Pictures.

E.g. *Gone with the wind*, 1980. Video. London: Nicer Videos.

Programmes and series: the number and title of the episode should normally be given, as well as the series title, the transmitting organisation and channel, the full date and time of transmission.

E.g. *The National Assembly*, Session 1, The Ministerial Broadcast, 1986. TV, MBC2. 1996 Jan 6.

E.g. News at nine, 2001. Dec 12. 2100 hrs.

Contributions: individual items within a programme should be cited as contributors.

E.g. BUTTS, Tim, 1998. Interview. *In: Zurnal en kreol*. TV, MBC2. 1998 Feb 12.1900 hrs.

## **Electronic Material – Following the Harvard System**

The British Standard BS 5605:1990 does not include recommendations for electronic sources. The recommendations in this document follow best practice in referencing electronic resources and where possible follow the guidance of the British Standard. Reference to web pages/sites and e-books Author's /Editor's SURNAME, INITIALS., Year. *Title* [online]. (Edition). Place of publication, Publisher (if ascertainable). Available from: URL [Accessed Date].

E.g. DUTCH, M., 2000. *Guide to citing references* [online]. Réduit, UOM. Available from:

[http://www.uom.ac.mu/library/using/guide\\_to\\_citing\\_references.html](http://www.uom.ac.mu/library/using/guide_to_citing_references.html) [Accessed 15 December 2005].

## **Reference to e-journals**

Author's SURNAME, INITIALS., Year. *Title. Journal Title* [online], volume (issue), location within host. Available from: URL [Accessed Date].

E.g. NAIL, N.C., 1997. Books and Drawings: book review of Bedford on Art. *Readings* [online], 6 (15). Available from:

<http://readings.uom.ac.uk/archive/00000462/> [Accessed 24 June 2005].

## **Reference to mailbase/listserv e-mail lists**

Author's SURNAME, INITIALS., Day Month Year. Subject of message. *Discussion List* [online] Available from: list e-mail address [Accessed Date].

E.g. DRACK, M. L., 8 Jan 2004. Re: Excel short courses. *new-link* [online]. Available from: [dacko@dacksmail.ac.mu](mailto:dacko@dacksmail.ac.mu) [Accessed 17 Jun 2004].

E.g. JOHANSEN, S.V., 10 Aug 1989. Recommendation of student radio/tv in English. *tfghti* [online]. Available from: [tfghti@INTNET.MU](mailto:tfghti@INTNET.MU) [Accessed 29 Apr 2004].

It should be noted that items may only be kept on discussion group servers for a short time and hence may not be suitable for referencing. A local copy could be kept by the author who is giving the citation, with a note to this effect.

## Reference to personal electronic communications (e-mail)

Sender's SURNAME, INITIALS. (Sender's e-mail address), Day Month Year. *Subject of Message*. e-Mail to Recipient's INITIALS. SURNAME (Recipient's email address).

e.g. LETTE, D. (debra-lette@unix.com), 8 Sept 2000. *RE: Thesis proposal and bursaries*. E-Mail to P. DOIL (pdoil@uom.ac.mu).

## Reference to CD-ROMs and DVDs

This section refers to CD-ROMs, which are works in their own right and not bibliographic databases. Author's SURNAME, INITIALS., Year. *Title* [type of medium CD-ROM]. (Edition). Place of publication, Publisher (if ascertainable). Available from:

Supplier/Database identifier or number (optional) [Accessed Date] (optional).

E.g. HALLE, S.A., 1992. *Beyond history: a wonderful adventure*. [CDROM]. Aryan Media.

## Citing unpublished material

Citation of unpublished documents. B.S.I. (UTM Campus Library & VCILT Library 028.7 BRI).

### **5.3 Related Topics**

Remember that you must acknowledge your source every time you refer to someone else's work. Failure to do so amounts to **plagiarism**, which is against the University rules and is a serious offence. When you are copying or downloading material, you must also ensure that you comply with **copyright** rules. When including third party material in theses and dissertations, it is the responsibility of the individual to ensure the appropriate copyright permission has been obtained.

## **6. THESIS EXAMINATION**

---

### **6.1 Introduction**

These procedures apply to all programmes of study by research where assessment is entirely by thesis, possibly supplemented by a viva voce examination (for PhD candidates), where necessary/advisable.

They set out the framework for study for research degrees and the conditions for their award.

### **6.2 Procedures for Submission of the Thesis**

1. A student is required to notify, with the approval of his/her supervisor, the Registrar of the University of his/her intention to submit the thesis at least three months prior to the proposed date of submission using a Notification for submission of Thesis form (RDE1). An MPhil/Transfer Report/PhD Declaration Form (RDDC) will have to be filled in and submitted together with the RDEI form. An abstract duly approved by the main supervisor must be attached with the forms.
2. Upon receipt of the notification, the Registrar shall inform the Dean of Faculty.
3. If a student intends to submit his/her thesis, but does not obtain the supervisor's approval, the case shall be referred to the Dean of Faculty.
4. The thesis shall be submitted to the Registrar's Office in three copies (hard-bound or soft-bound) in the format specified as per the existing University regulations for the physical format and layout of thesis.
5. At the time of the first submission for examination, the student shall also submit a separate signed declaration of originality, countersigned by the main supervisor.
6. On submission of the final hardbound copies, a student shall also submit a signed statement from the supervisor certifying that all necessary corrections have been completed satisfactorily, together with a signed statement from the student, on the appropriate form, that the hardbound copies of the thesis are (apart from any corrections made) identical to the original submission.
7. Any work in an unbound form must be referenced in the thesis (e.g. CD-ROM, software, maps, statistics, artwork, etc.)

### **6.3 Copyright**

The copyright of a thesis remains with the author. The student is required to submit two hardbound copies of the final thesis, one copy to be deposited in the University library and the other to be kept by the main supervisor.

The student may request that a moratorium be imposed on access to the thesis for a period of time not exceeding 3 years as from the date of final submission.

Any request for a moratorium should be addressed to the Dean of Faculty, who will send his/her recommendations to the Faculty Board. The final approval will have to be sought from the Research and Consultancy Committee.

## **6.4 Role of the External Examiner**

The role of the external examiner is to:

- Enable the University to ensure that its degrees are comparable in standard with those awarded by reputable universities in similar subjects
- Verify that the standards expected of successful students are appropriate for the level of the award

## **6.5 Procedures for Appointment of External Examiners**

1. There shall be two external examiners.
2. External examiners should normally be required to meet the following criteria:
  - One external examiner shall be a Professor and the other shall be at least at an Associate Professor level
  - The external examiner should have recent experience in either supervising or examining PhD students in the relevant subject area
  - The external examiner should have no existing, or prior connection with the University, and the supervisor(s), in the case of a student who is a staff of the UoM or one of its associate institutions, as this may call into question his/her ability to objectively and impartially examine the thesis
3. It is recognised that in some cases, the most suitable person to act as an external examiner for a particular student may be someone outside academia but who has recent experience in examining research degrees in the relevant field.
4. Upon receipt of the Thesis Submission Form (Form RDE1), the Faculty Board shall identify and approve the potential external examiners. Once approved by the Faculty Board, approval shall be sought from the Research and Consultancy Committee and then Senate.
5. Following the appointment of the two External Examiners by Senate, the Faculty Administrative Assistant shall send the thesis to the External Examiners and seek confidential reports from them.

## **6.6 Assessment from External Examiners**

1. The external examiners shall be requested to send their report along with completed forms RDE2 and RDE3 to the Faculty Administrative Assistant within a period of four months. If the report(s) are not received within a period of four months, a reminder shall be sent to the Examiners requesting them to submit same within a period of one month. If the report(s) have not been received after five months, one or two other examiners shall be appointed.

2. Any viva-voce examination will have to be justified by the External Examiners and will be held according to procedures outlined in Section 4.7
3. The external examiner's report shall consist of an assessment of:
  - The student's understanding of the field of study and appropriate familiarity with previous published work in the field
  - The thesis in relation to the research objectives
  - Whether the research methodologies are appropriate and whether research findings are suitably analysed
  - Whether the thesis makes an original contribution to the knowledge in the subject area
  - The general presentation of the thesis
4. The external examiner's recommendation shall be one of the following:
  - For immediate award, with minor typographical errors to be corrected to the satisfaction of the supervisor
  - For award subject to amendments to the text of the thesis being made to the satisfaction of the supervisor
  - For revision and resubmission
  - For award of a lower degree without amendments
  - For re-submission for a lower degree with amendments
  - Failed Thesis

***Re-submission should be within 6 months after Senate's decision and should in no instance exceed the prescribed time limit for the degree.  
Only one re-submission will be allowed.***

## **6.7 Board of Examiners**

The Board of Examiners shall consider the external examiners' reports and recommendations with respect to the following:

- In the case of a recommendation for immediate award or for award subject to amendments to the text, the Faculty Administrative Assistant shall forward the reports of both external examiners at the same time to the supervisor. The supervisor shall ensure that the corrections and amendments are made to his/her satisfaction and shall notify the Chairperson of the Board of Examiners. The Board of Examiners shall report to the Faculty Board, which will make its recommendations to Senate. The Registrar shall inform the student of Senate's decision.
- In the case of a recommendation for revision and re-submission, the Board of Examiners shall report to the Faculty Board, which will make its recommendation to the Research and Consultancy Committee. The latter shall submit its recommendation to Senate. The Registrar shall inform the student of Senate's decision.
- In the case of a Failed Thesis, the Faculty Administrative Assistant shall forward the external examiners' reports to the Board of Examiners. The Board of Examiners shall report to the Faculty Board, which will make its recommendations to Senate. The Registrar shall inform the student of Senate's decision.

## **6.8 Disagreement Between External Examiners**

Where there is major disagreement between the external examiners, a third external examiner will be appointed.

## **6.9 Appeals**

There shall be no appeal on academic grounds. However, if the student feels that there has been a procedural irregularity, he/she may appeal against Senate's decision on receipt of a formal letter from the Registrar. Any appeal with necessary justification(s) shall be lodged in writing to the Registrar within four weeks from the date a student is informed of Senate's decision.

All cases of appeal shall be dealt with as per the existing University Regulations.

## **7. TRANSFER OF REGISTRATION FROM MPhil TO PHD**

---

### **7.1 Introduction**

These procedures apply to MPhil and PhD programmes of study. They should be read in conjunction with the University Regulations for Higher Degrees.

A student initially registered for the MPhil degree who wishes to be transferred to PhD programme can apply for transfer of registration. The application is made normally after two years of full-time study. For part-time students, the normal period is three years as from the date of registration.

In order to demonstrate that sufficient progress has been made to provide evidence of the development to PhD, a student is required to submit a substantive transfer report for external assessment. The report should contain:

- (a) A concise account of the research work already conducted and
- (b) It should also demonstrate that the student has:
  - Understood the problem and is aware of relevant literature
  - Demonstrated capacity to conduct research of PhD standard
  - Established a realistic research plan and schedule for the duration of study

### **7.2 Procedures for the Submission of Transfer Reports**

1. A student wishing to transfer from MPhil to PhD is required to notify the Dean of Faculty through the supervisor of his/her intention to submit a transfer report by filling a Notification for transfer from MPhil to PhD form (RDT1) at least three months prior to the proposed date of submission of the transfer report. An MPhil/Transfer Report/PhD Declaration Form (RDDC) will have to be filled in and submitted together with the RDT1 form.
2. Upon receipt of the RDT1 form, the Dean of Faculty in consultation with the supervisor shall decide whether the student should be allowed to submit the transfer report. The decision making process shall be made on the basis of previous progress reports submitted by the student and the supervisor(s).
3. The decision of the Dean of Faculty shall be communicated to the student within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the RDT1 form.
4. Where applications are not successful, the Dean of Faculty shall inform the student in writing of the decision and issue a set of targets established by the supervisor and which have to be met for a new application, provided that the maximum allowable time is not exceeded.
5. The transfer report shall be submitted to the Registrar's Office in two copies in the format specified by the University Specifications for MPhil Transfer Reports.

### **7.3 Procedures for Assessment of Transfer Reports**

1. There shall be one external assessor.
2. The assessor should normally be required to meet the following criteria:
  - The assessor shall be at least at Associate Professor level or equivalent
  - The assessor should have recent experience of either supervising or examining PhD students in the relevant subject area
  - The assessor should have no existing, or prior connection with the University, and the supervisor(s), in the case of a student who is a staff of the UoM or one of its associate institutions, as this may call into question his/her ability to objectively and impartially assess the report
3. It is recognised that in some cases, the most suitable person to act as an external assessor for a particular student may be someone outside academia but who has recent experience of examining research degrees in the relevant field.
4. The Dean of Faculty after consulting the supervisor(s) shall identify the potential external assessor and shall recommend him/her to the Faculty Research Committee, which will send its recommendations to the Faculty Board. If approved by the Faculty Board, the latter shall send its recommendation to the Research & Consultancy Committee. Upon submission of the report, approval shall be sought from Senate.

The appointment of the external assessor shall be made within a period of two months on submission of the transfer report.

5. Following the appointment of the external assessor by Senate, the Faculty Administrative Assistant shall send the transfer report to the external assessor and shall seek a confidential report from him/her.

### **7.4 External Assessor for Transfer Reports**

The external assessor shall determine whether:

- The student is aware of relevant published work
- The student has shown a good understanding of the research topic
- The work is scholarly in the context of the research discipline
- The proposed research work is of doctoral quality and can be completed within the prescribed time limit

### **7.5 The External Assessor's Report**

1. The external assessor shall be requested to send his/her report to the Faculty Administrative Assistant within a period of two months. If the report is not received within a period of two months, a reminder shall be sent to the external assessor requesting submission of same within a period of one month. If the report has not been received after 3 months, a new external assessor shall be appointed.
2. The report shall consist of an assessment of:

- The student's understanding of the field of study and appropriate familiarity with previous published work in the field
  - An assessment of whether the student has demonstrated a capacity to conduct research of PhD standard
  - Whether the report includes a realistic research plan and schedule for the remaining duration of study
  - The general presentation of the transfer report
3. The recommendation from the external assessor shall be one of the following:
    - Transfer to PhD
    - Revision and re-submission for a transfer
    - Revision and submission for an MPhil degree only
  4. Only one re-submission will be allowed.
  5. The Board of Examiners shall make appropriate recommendations to the Faculty Board.
    - 5.1 In the case of a recommendation for transfer to a PhD status, the Board of Examiners shall report to Faculty Board, which will submit it to Research and Consultancy Committee for approval. Senate will be informed accordingly. The Registrar shall inform the student of Senate's decision.
    - 5.2 In the case of a recommendation for revision and re-submission for a transfer, the Board of Examiners shall report to the Faculty Board. The decision of the Faculty Board shall be communicated to the student through the supervisor.
    - 5.3 In the case of a recommendation for submission for an MPhil degree only, the Board of Examiners shall report to the Faculty Board, which will make its recommendation to Senate. The Registrar shall inform the student of Senate's decision.

## **7.6 Appeals**

There shall be no appeal on academic grounds. However, if the student feels that there has been a procedural irregularity, he/she may appeal against Senate's decision on receipt of a formal letter from the Registrar. Any appeal with necessary justification(s) shall be lodged in writing to the Registrar within four weeks from the date a student is informed of Senate's decision.

All cases of appeal shall be dealt with as per the existing University Regulations.

## **8. TERMINATION OF REGISTRATION**

---

### **8.1 Introduction**

These procedures apply to cases of termination of registration other than those resulting from a failed thesis or from misconduct.

The Faculty Research Committee can recommend the termination of registration of a research student's programme of study. The recommendation shall be made to the Faculty Board.

### **8.2 Grounds for the Recommendation of Termination of Registration**

Recommendation for termination of registration shall be based on one or more of the following:

- (i) Failure to submit a thesis by the prescribed time limit
- (ii) Failure to submit the six-monthly report together with the SMPF form
- (iii) Failure to make satisfactory academic progress

1. The Faculty Administrative Assistant shall inform the student that his/her registration is being considered for termination.
2. The Faculty Research Committee may interview the student and specify conditions for improvement that the student will be asked to meet by a deadline. If the conditions are not met, the Faculty Research Committee may recommend the termination of registration to the Faculty Board.

### **8.3 Procedures for the Termination of Registration**

1. A student who has received notification for termination of registration will be given one month to show cause.
2. The Faculty Research Committee must consider any representations made by the student before making its recommendations for termination of registration to the Faculty Board.
3. In case, the Faculty Board decides that there are grounds for reconsideration, the matter will be referred back to the Faculty Research Committee and the student shall be informed of the requirements to demonstrate satisfactory progress.
4. The Faculty Research Committee shall make its recommendations for termination of registration to the Faculty Board, which will in turn make its recommendations to the Research and Consultancy Committee. The recommendations of the Research and Consultancy Committee shall be forwarded to Senate for approval.
5. The Registrar shall inform the student of Senate's decision.

## **8.4 Appeals**

There shall be no appeal on academic grounds. However, if the student feels that there has been a procedural irregularity, he/she may appeal against Senate's decision on receipt of a formal letter from the Registrar. Any appeal with necessary justification(s) shall be lodged in writing to the Registrar within four weeks from the date a student is informed of Senate's decision.

All cases of appeal shall be dealt with as per the existing University Regulations.

## **9. FUNDING ASPECTS OF MPhil AND PHD RESEARCH PROJECTS**

---

### **9.1 Introduction**

Funding constitutes an important component of research in any institution. Since lack of adequate funding can represent a significant constraint to the advancement of research projects, this section lays down the relevant aspects of funding, from the starting point of a research project until its completion. The financing of MPhil and PhD research projects is usually met by the University, the Tertiary Education Commission or any other Tertiary Institution, local or foreign funding bodies, the private sector or the student himself/herself.

Information on bursaries, scholarships and fellowships shall be made available at the Registrar's office.

Sponsored research projects, whether in kind or cash, require the approval of the University authorities.

### **9.2 Costing and Financing**

Students need to specify as far as possible in their proposal the cost implications to the University, if any, and how these are going to be met. Furthermore, they need to identify with the help of their supervisor(s) all potential costs related to auditing any taught module(s) on the University's programmes.

While fees related to auditing a module at the undergraduate level may be waived, it will be for the University authorities to decide on the costs involved for a research student to audit Master's level module(s).

Should there be any additional or unexpected cost at a later stage during the research work, the student may have to bear the same. Sponsored students may be required to apply for extra funding once the relevant costs have been identified.

The supervisor will inform the Faculty Research Committee of the availability of funds for a given project.

In case a registered student needs to have an attachment with a foreign university or research institution, he/she will be responsible for seeking sponsorship with the help of his/her supervisor.

## 10. COMMITTEES

---

### 10.1 University of Mauritius Research Ethics Committee (UoMREC)

This Committee shall be appointed by Senate for a period of two years and shall review research proposals which contain elements that may affect ethical/moral values. The Committee will consider sensitive issues involving human subjects, human material or data. Such scrutiny will apply to both lab-based and non-lab based experimentation/research projects.

The Committee will look into the following aspects:

- Elements of moral damage
- Threat to law and order
- Threat to national unity, peace and harmony
- Health hazards
- Threat to the environment
- Threat to the national heritage

#### 10.1.1 Membership

The University of Mauritius Research Ethics Committee shall consist of:

- (i) A University staff to be nominated by the Pro Vice-Chancellor (Research & Consultancy) as Chairperson
- (ii) A member with experience in legal matters
- (iii) A member with experience in the practice of medicine (He/she should be from the National Ethics Committee of the Ministry of Health and Quality of Life)
- (iv) A Social scientist
- (v) A member with experience in the field of arts
- (vi) A Natural scientist
- (vii) A member with experience in environmental issues
- (viii) A Biotechnologist
- (ix) Co-opted Members (as and when required)

#### 10.1.2 Terms of Reference

The Committee should be able to:

- (i) Scrutinize the research, with special emphasis on proposed methodological issues, such as experiments, analysis and protection of data
- (ii) Identify potential harms and dangers
- (iii) Examine the benefits of the study
- (iv) Provide written information on the rejection of a research proposal considered unethical
- (v) Reconsider revised submissions
- (vi) Appoint independent experts for further consideration
- (vii) Interview the applicant as and when the need arises
- (viii) Obtain written consent from the student as and when the need arises on issues requiring confidentiality

## 10.2 Faculty Research Committee (FRC)

The Faculty Research Committee is an advisory committee formally appointed by the Faculty Board. The FRC provides advice and makes recommendations to the Faculty Board on matters relating to the approval, monitoring and examination of postgraduate research degree programmes.

### 10.2.1 Membership

The Faculty Research Committee shall consist of a maximum of 10 members:

- (i) Dean of Faculty (Chairperson)
- (ii) All Heads of Departments
- (iii) Senior academic staff appointed for a period of 2 years

The Committee may co-opt members as and when required

### 10.2.2 Terms of Reference

The FRC is responsible to Faculty Board for the following:

- Promoting the Faculty's research programmes
- Monitoring quality assurance procedures for research
- Reviewing matters related to:
  - Registration, supervision and examination of research students
  - Appointment of supervisor(s)
  - Award of scholarships and research funding
  - Monitoring the management of research funds
  - Monitoring students' progress on the basis of the six-monthly progress reports (or any other reports outside the evaluation periods) received from students and supervisors
  - Conflict between supervisor(s) and student
  - Transfer from MPhil to PhD registration
  - Changes in supervisor(s)
  - Extensions or terminations of registrations
  - Matters relating to appointment of external examiners/assessors
- Scheduling seminars for students to present research findings
- Examining cases of plagiarism and breaches of ethics
- Advising on policy matters related to research and research students
- Facilitating interdepartmental/faculty research projects

### 10.2.3 Functions of FRC

- To consider applications taking into consideration the recommendations of the UoM Research Ethics Committee, where applicable, before recommending registration or otherwise
- To monitor the student's progress
- To ensure that the student is being given appropriate supervision
- To ensure that the University or other funding agency provides the student with adequate facilities

- To consider the six-monthly student's and supervisor's reports and advise the Faculty Board accordingly
- To consider any dispute between a student and the supervisor and advise the Faculty Board accordingly
- To consider cases of Termination of Registration and advise the Faculty Board accordingly
- To consider requests for:
  - (a) Transfer from Mphil to PhD and
  - (b) Thesis Submission
- To make recommendations to the Faculty Board for the appointment of External Examiner(s) and External Assessor(s)
- To deal with all applications of changes in the mode of study and to make appropriate recommendations to the Faculty Board
- To consider cases of extension of registration and to make appropriate recommendations to the Faculty Board
- To consider cases of interruption of studies and to make appropriate recommendations to the Faculty Board
- To request students to meet for any deficiency in the thesis as suggested by External Examiners
- To appoint an adhoc Sub-Committee known as "The FRC Sub-Committee on Plagiarism" to look into alleged cases of plagiarism. Upon receipt of the report of the FRC Sub-Committee on Plagiarism, FRC will refer 'alleged' cases of plagiarism to Faculty Board and/to the Registrar (in case of substantive plagiarism).

### **10.3 Board of Examiners**

#### **10.3.1 Membership**

- (i) The Dean of Faculty (Chairperson)
- (ii) Head of the relevant Department
- (iii) One representative of FRC
- (iv) 2 members who are senior academics of the Faculty appointed by the Dean of Faculty on the basis, as far as possible of their fields of studies in relation to the research project

#### **10.3.2 Function of the Board of Examiners**

- To consider the External Examiners' reports and to ensure that the main supervisor has reviewed the corrections made by the student in the reports as suggested by the External Examiners

## **11. PLAGIARISM**

---

### **11.1 Definition**

Plagiarism involves using the work of another and presenting it as one's own, whether published or unpublished. Any of the following acts constitutes plagiarism:

- (i) presenting the work of another or part of it as one's own, whether published or unpublished. This includes material available on the internet and in any other electronic form;
- (ii) directly reproducing from a source without proper citation;
- (iii) paraphrasing or summarising another's work without acknowledging the source;
- (iv) using facts, figures, graphs, charts or information without acknowledging the source;
- (v) using whole or part of any document, graphics, artwork or any other material from the internet and presenting it in any material form as one's own, with or without any modification, without acknowledgement;
- (vi) any infringement to the Copyright Act (1997) that violates the moral rights of an author as defined at (v), specifically the author's entitlement to the right of paternity.

### **11.2 Procedures for Dealing with Plagiarism in MPhil/PhD Research Projects**

1. Plagiarism may be detected
  - prior to submission of the thesis or MPhil Transfer Report,
  - during the examination process, in which case the latter should be suspended.
  - after the award of the degree
2. In all cases, the alleged plagiarism shall be reported to the Dean of the Faculty concerned. The report should contain detailed evidence of the suspected plagiarism (indicating by cross-referencing what sections of texts have been plagiarised and from what sources).
3. On receipt of the report, the Dean shall convene a meeting of the Faculty Research Committee (FRC) which will appoint a Sub-Committee on Plagiarism to investigate the alleged case of plagiarism. The FRC Sub-Committee on Plagiarism will interview both the student against whom the allegation of plagiarism has been made and the Supervisor(s).
4. If the alleged plagiarism is not proved, the FRC Sub-Committee on Plagiarism will report to the FRC. The latter will inform the Faculty Board, following which the student will be informed accordingly by the Faculty Administrative Assistant on behalf of the Registrar, through the Supervisor with copy to the Pro Vice-Chancellor (R & C) and to the Head of Department concerned.

5. If the alleged plagiarism is found to be **incidental**, in the sense that the student has failed to cite the references or make the appropriate acknowledgements in one or two isolated and insignificant cases, the FRC Sub-Committee on Plagiarism will communicate its findings in its report to the FRC. The latter will report to the Faculty Board and recommend that a note of warning be issued to the student by the Faculty Administrative Assistant on behalf of the Registrar, through the Supervisor, with copy to the Pro Vice-Chancellor (R&C) and the Head of Department. The student will be asked to make the necessary revision and re-draft the relevant section(s) to the satisfaction of the Supervisor(s).
6. If the alleged plagiarism is found to be **substantive**, in the sense that the extent and proportion of the work that has been plagiarized, affects the originality and authorship of the thesis or MPhil Transfer Report, and also reveals a deliberate intent on the part of the student, the FRC Sub-Committee on Plagiarism will submit a detailed report to the FRC with a recommendation that the case be immediately referred to the Registrar for disciplinary procedures to be initiated, and that the Faculty Board be informed accordingly.
7. On receipt of the report and recommendation from the FRC, the Registrar will convene the Discipline Committee as per the University Rules and Regulations.
8. If the student is found guilty of substantive plagiarism, the Discipline Committee will in its report to Senate indicate whether:
  - The student should be failed and his registration terminated.
  - The MPhil thesis / MPhil Transfer Report / PhD thesis should be referred back to the student for significant revision and for resubmission.
  - In the case of a PhD thesis, it should be referred back to the student for significant revision and re-submission for a lower degree.

### 11.3 FRC Sub-Committee on Plagiarism

The FRC Sub-Committee on Plagiarism is an adhoc sub-committee appointed by FRC to look into cases of alleged plagiarism, and it will report to the FRC.

#### 11.3.1 Membership of the FRC Sub-Committee on Plagiarism

- (i) A senior member of FRC (Chair) appointed by FRC
- (ii) Head of the relevant Department
- (iii) One senior academic (who should be a specialist in the relevant research field) appointed by FRC
- (iv) One co-opted member as and when required

### **11.3.2 Terms of Reference of the FRC Sub-Committee on Plagiarism**

- To consider reports on alleged plagiarism
- To investigate into cases of alleged plagiarism and seek explanations from the student and the Supervisor(s)
- To establish whether the allegation of plagiarism is founded, and if so, to determine whether it is a case of incidental or substantive plagiarism.
- To submit its report and recommendations to FRC

### **11.4 Right to Appeal**

The student shall have the right to appeal against the decision of Senate, by writing to the Registrar, within a period of fourteen days from the date of notification.

### **11.5 MPhil/Transfer Report/PhD Declaration Form (RDDC)**

Each registered MPhil/PhD student of the University of Mauritius is required to submit the MPhil/Transfer Report/PhD Declaration Form (RDDC) duly signed to the Registrar or to the Dean of Faculty (as applicable) prior to submission of the MPhil thesis / MPhil Transfer Report / PhD thesis.

Three months' prior to the proposed date for submission of MPhil thesis/MPhil Transfer Report / PhD thesis, the student will be required to fill in and sign the RDDC form.

The RDDC form is to be submitted together with the *Notification for Submission of Thesis Form (RDE1)* to the Registrar / *Notification for transfer from MPhil to PhD Form (RDT1)* to the Dean of Faculty.

# GUIDELINES FOR RESEARCH PROPOSALS BY PROSPECTIVE APPLICANTS FOR POSTGRADUATE RESEARCH STUDIES

---

**The Research Proposal should be in the form of a report in Harvard or an acceptable reference format and should not exceed 2000 words.**

1. Tentative title (*The exact title can be finalised at least three months prior to the submission of the thesis*)
2. Statement of the problem
3. Rationale of the study
4. Objectives of the study
5. Brief Literature Review
6. Methodology
7. Expected Output
8. Research plan (Time Frame)
9. Cost of Research Work
  - (a) (i) Literature  
Research Materials – Reading (Books, Journals, CD-ROM, etc.)
  - (ii) Equipment  
Specific instruments, chemicals, etc.
  - (iii) Attachment to specialised centres (recommendation of supervisor is required)
  - (iv) Surveys/data collection/experiments/fieldwork
  - (v) Dissertation/Report writing
  - (vi) Others (please specify)
  - (b) Proposed sources of funding  
Self or sponsored
10. Special requirements for the project  
(Import permit, storage, health, safety & security hazards, etc.)
11. Give the name(s) of the main and associate supervisors

**Personal computer, printing facilities & access to internet will be provided by the Faculty**



**Section to be filled by Applicant:**

<b>Name</b>	_____
<b>Address</b>	_____ _____
<b>Telephone and/or Email Address</b>	_____ _____

Dear Referee,

The above named candidate is applying for admission to a research degree. Your completion of this confidential form will greatly help us in the assessment of the applicant's suitability to undertake the proposed study. Please enclose the completed form in a sealed envelope and forward it to the student for onward transmission to the Admissions' Office

- I. I have known the candidate:  
*Please tick or fill in as appropriate.*

<b>For a period of</b>	• 1 year	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• 2 years	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• 3 years	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• More than 3 years	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>In my capacity as</b>	• Lecturer	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• Project/Thesis Supervisor	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Others, please specify	

- II. The rating below indicates my assessment of applicant's performance and potential in comparison with other student's with the same level of education and experience with whom I have been associated for the past five years.

Please complete this section by ticking appropriate boxes.

	<b>Excellent</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Below Average</b>
<b>Academic Achievement</b>					
<b>Intellectual Ability</b>					
<b>Capability for Original Thinking</b>					
<b>Capability to Work Independently</b>					
<b>Writing Skills</b>					
<b>Motivation for Research Work</b>					

- III. Please indicate in the space provided below your opinion on the applicant's potential to undertake advanced research in the chosen field of study.

You may wish to consider the applicant's:

- (i) Qualifications (ii) Motivation (iii) Ability to read, write and give oral presentations.

---

**Referee's Name**

---

**Position**

---

**Institution**

---


**Signature**

---

**Date**

# MPHIL AND PHD REGISTRATION PROCEDURES

---



Please refer to the **University Guidelines for Students Registered for Postgraduate Research Programmes**. This Report will be used by the Faculty Research Committee, as a tool for the monitoring of MPhil and PhD Research Programmes. The assessment made thereon will constitute the official notification for re-enrolment for the period ending January/August.

***To be completed by all registered MPhil and PhD students. You are advised to fill in the form after discussion with your supervisor(s)***

Completed form must reach the office of the Dean of Faculty by \_ \_ \_ \_

STUDENT NAME: <i>(Please print)</i>	DATE OF INITIAL REGISTRATION:
PROGRAMME REGISTERED FOR:	
TITLE OF DISSERTATION:	
SUPERVISOR NAME: <i>(Please print)</i>	NAME(S) OF ASSOCIATE SUPERVISOR(S):

1. Are you currently undertaking coursework, as part of the registered Programme of Studies?

Yes       No

If Yes, please specify which module(s)

---

2. If you are currently undertaking coursework as part of the registered Programme of Studies,

are you satisfied with the arrangements made?

Yes       No [*please comment*]       N/A

Comments:

---



---



---

3. How often have you had formal interactions with the supervisor(s)?

Weekly     Fortnightly     Monthly     Other [*please comment*]

Comments:

---

---

---

---

4. How would you qualify your experience of student / supervisor contacts?

Productive     Not productive [*please comment*]

Comments:

---

---

---

---

5. Have you experienced any academic or personal problem, which has affected your progress during the period of registration?

Yes     No

6. If the answer to Question(5) is Yes, indicate the nature of problems affecting progress of research work. [*You may use additional pages if required*].

---

---

---

---

7. Are you satisfied with the Faculty provisions for the timely allocation of resources / facilities?

Yes     No     N / A

8. If the answer to [7] is No, please give details, which may assist in prompt problem-solving by the Faculty Research Committee?

---

---

---

9. Are you satisfied with the Faculty provisions on Safety Issues?

Yes     No [*please comment*]     N / A

Comments:

---

---

---

---

10. Have you submitted any research material for publication or for presentation at research seminars/conferences/workshops?

- Yes     No     N / A

If Yes, provide details (title of presentation, dates, etc.)

---

---

---

---

11. If applicable, please indicate how far have you been able to attain your plan mentioned in the progress report?

---

---

---

---

12. Kindly indicate your plan with respect to the publication of research papers and/or other research-related activities (eg seminar / poster presentation, conference attendance etc.)?

---

---

---

13. Overall, are you satisfied with the Faculty provisions for the management of your research programme of study?

- Yes     No [*please comment*]

Comments:

---

---

---

---

**Signature:**

**Date:**

Please refer to the **University Guidelines for Thesis Committee and Supervisors**. This Report will be used by the Faculty Research Committee, as a tool for the monitoring of MPhil and PhD Research Programmes for the period ending January/August

**To be completed by the Supervisor(s) of all registered MPhil and PhD Research Programmes after discussion with the student**

Completed form must reach the office of the Dean of Faculty by \_ \_ \_ \_

STUDENT NAME: <i>(Please print)</i>	DATE OF INITIAL REGISTRATION:
PROGRAMME REGISTERED FOR:	
TITLE OF DISSERTATION:	
SUPERVISOR NAME: <i>(Please print)</i>	NAME(S) OF ASSOCIATE SUPERVISOR(S):

1 Is the student currently undertaking coursework, as part of the registered Programme of Studies

Yes       No

If Yes, please specify which module(s)

---



---

2 If the student is required to undertake coursework as part of the registered Programme of Studies, has progress been satisfactory?

Yes       No [*please comment*]       N / A

Comments:

---



---



---

3 Has the student shown diligence at work?

Yes       No [*please comment*]

Comments:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4 How often has the student had formal interactions with the supervisor(s)?

Weekly       Fortnightly       Monthly

Other [*please comment*]

Comments:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5 Has the student shown consistent and satisfactory progress during the period of registration?

Yes       No

6 If the answer to Question (5) is no, indicate the nature of problems affecting progress of research work. [*You may use additional pages if required*].

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7 Has the student been involved in the presentation of research seminars or any other research-related activities?

Yes       No       N / A

8 If the answer to (7) is Yes, please give details.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

---

---

9 Has the student submitted research papers in connection with the registered research programme for publication /presentation?

Yes       No       N / A

10 If the answer to (9) is Yes, please provide details.

---

---

---

---

11 Given the student's progress and scope of research programme, please provide an *anticipated* thesis completion date.

*Date:* \_\_\_\_\_  N / A

12 Please indicate your recommendation on the status of the student's registration.

Tick the appropriate box.

<b>SUPERVISOR'S RECOMMENDATION ON STATUS OF STUDENT'S REGISTRATION</b> <i>(Please tick the appropriate box)</i>	
<b>PERIOD ENDING JAN/AUG</b>	
Ongoing (M Phil or PhD)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Transfer to PhD [ <i>Refer to University Guidelines</i> ]	<input type="checkbox"/>
Termination of Registration [M Phil or PhD] [ <i>Refer to University Guidelines</i> ]	<input type="checkbox"/>
Submission of Thesis	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Main Supervisor**

**Signature:**

**Date:**

**Associate Supervisor**

**Signature:**

**Date:**

**NOTE: SUPERVISORS MAY WISH TO SUBMIT INDIVIDUAL  
REPORTS**



UNIVERSITY  
of  
MAURITIUS

Form RDDC

**MPhil / Transfer Report / PhD Declaration Form**

1. I have read the “University of Mauritius Rules & Regulations Governing MPhil and PhD Research” and I have understood the section(s) on ‘Plagiarism’.
2. I know that plagiarism is wrong. Plagiarism is to use another’s work and pretend that it is one’s own.
3. I have adhered to “The UoM Guide to the Harvard System of Referencing”, for referencing, quotations and citations in my thesis. Each contribution to, and quotation in my thesis from the work(s) of other people has been attributed, and has been cited and referenced.
4. This MPhil thesis / MPhil Transfer Report / PhD thesis is my own work.  
*(To delete where appropriate)*
5. I have not allowed, and will not allow, anyone to copy my work with the intention of passing it off as his or her own work.
6. I am aware that I may have to forfeit the degree in the event that plagiarism has been detected after the award.
7. Notwithstanding the supervision provided to me by the University of Mauritius, I warrant that any alleged act(s) of plagiarism during my stay as a registered student of the University of Mauritius is entirely my own responsibility and the University of Mauritius and/or its employees shall under no circumstances whatsoever be under any liability of any kind in respect of the aforesaid act(s) of plagiarism.

8. Surname : .....  
 Other Names } (in FULL and in BLOCK LETTERS)  
 : .....

Programme registered for: .....

Title of MPhil thesis/MPhil Transfer Report /PhD thesis: .....  
*(To delete where appropriate)*

Name of Supervisor/s: (To specify whether Main Supervisor/Co-Supervisors/Associate Supervisor)

Faculty/Centre: .....

Signature: .....

Date: .....

*To be duly signed and submitted to the Dean of Faculty/the Registrar’s Office 3 months’ prior to submission of the MPhil thesis/MPhil Transfer Report/PhD thesis*





**External Assessor's Report &  
Recommendation**

<b>External Assessor's Name:</b>	
<b>Student's Name:</b>	

**Title of the MPhil  
Transfer Report:** \_\_\_\_\_

Please give a detailed report on the following:

The student's understanding of the field of study and familiarity with published work in the field.
An assessment of the report in relation to the research objectives, methodologies and findings.
The general presentation of the report.

Please make one of the following recommendations:

- For transfer to PhD
- For revision and resubmission for a transfer
- For submission for an MPhil degree only

The External Assessor will provide a list of typographical errors and amendments, if any, to be made to the text.

_____ <b>External Assessor</b>	_____ <b>Signature</b>	_____ <b>Date</b>
-----------------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------





**External Examiner's Report**

<b>External Examiner's Name:</b>	
<b>Student's Name:</b>	
<b>Programme of Study:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>MPhil</b> <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><input type="checkbox"/> <b>PhD</b></span>

**Thesis Title:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Please give a detailed report on the following:**

The student's understanding of the field of study and familiarity with published work in the field.
An assessment of the thesis in relation to the research objectives, methodologies and findings.
Originality and contribution to the knowledge of the subject.
General presentation of the thesis.
Viva Voce Examination, where applicable

_____ <b>External Examiner</b>	_____ <b>Signature</b>	_____ <b>Date</b>
-----------------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------



**External Examiner's Recommendation**

<b>External Examiner's Name:</b>	
<b>Student's Name:</b>	
<b>Programme of Study:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>MPhil</b> <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><input type="checkbox"/> <b>PhD</b></span>

**Thesis Title:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Please make one of the following recommendations:**

- For immediate award subject to the correction of typographical errors
- For award subject to amendments to the text of the thesis
- For revision and resubmission
- For re-submission for a lower degree without amendments
- For re-submission for a lower degree with amendments
- Failed Thesis.

<b>EXTERNAL EXAMINER'S RECOMMENDATION</b>
<hr style="border: 0.5px solid #92D050;"/> <hr style="border: 0.5px solid #92D050;"/>

**The External Examiner will provide a list of typographical errors and amendments, if any, to be made to the text.**

<hr style="border: 0.5px solid #92D050;"/> <b>External Examiner</b>	<hr style="border: 0.5px solid #92D050;"/> <b>Signature</b>	<hr style="border: 0.5px solid #92D050;"/> <b>Date</b>
---	---	--